in the language of "not to exceed" or "shall be not more than", this kind of thing, one of the prime purposes is to get the votes it needs by making a lot of people think they are voting for a decrease or a limitation rather than informing the public as to what the real facts are, and as I say, I can't make someone say in public on the floor of this Legislature what we have all said in private and what we all know in our mind. Now, I really honestly believe that if we were to put an honest amendment on the ballot and then have an appropriation which we could use to explain it to the public. What ever it took, \$10,000, \$15,000, so that they would be informed as to what the whole issue is. That there would be no question. That we would obtain the increase that many feel is needed, including myself, but that also, a great deal of dignity would be gained for this body because in this most sensitive of matters which involves a direct conflict, we played it straight. As I say, I know this, apparently, isn't going to happen. That we will use the old trick that has been proved workable in the past by making people think they are doing one thing when they are doing another.

SPEAKER: The question is shall the amendment be adopted. All those in favor vote aye. All those opposed vote no. You are voting on the adoption of the DeCamp amendment. Have you all voted? The clerk will record the vote.

CLERK: 3 ayes, 33 nays, remainder not voting.

SPEAKER: The motion fails. We have another amendment to this particular bill on the desk, but the chair is a little reluctant to launch into that other amendment because of the time factor that's involved. Senator Anderson, I don't know what your amendment does. Whether it is extensive. Whether it is going to be controversial or not? Give me a little expression back there, please.

SENATOR ANDERSON: The amendment that I have on the bill is simply changing the time when this question would appear on the ballot from the general election to the primary election. If somebody thinks that this is something that is going to require some discussion, then, I suppose, the wise motion would be to adjourn. If it isn't going to require some discussion, I think we could take it up now.

SPEAKER: Well, let's try it and see. The clerk will read the amendment, please.

CLERK: Read.

SPEAKER: OK. Go ahead, Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: I'll try to be very brie. This change by moving this from the General election to the Primary election, I think has some substantial advantages and this is that this is an important question. I think one of the problems that the Legislative compensation Constitutional amendment questions in the last election was that they were on the General election amendment ballot and, of course, had to compete for attention with several other amendments. It made it impossible to focus on these things. I think if this was put on the Primary ballot where there are generally noncontroversial amendments that it would give us a chance to really address ourselves to this key issue. I think we could pay more attention to it and I think that it would get more of the attention that it deserves, the careful consideration that it deserves, so I move the adoption of this amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER: The chair recognizes Senator Stahmer, then Senator Whitney. Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President, members of the body, I'd like to support Senator Anderson in his move. As you may or